# Markov Processes

## Markov Process

A Markov Process (MP), or Markov Chain, is a directional node-edge graph which satisfies the Markov property. The Markov property requires that the probability of reaching any successor state depends ONLY on the current state (i.e. the states visited prior to the current state have no impact on future outcomes). Each node in the MP is a state , and each edge is some state transition that occurs with probability . MPs have a start state, a terminal state, and any number of intermediate states. Each state transition has a unique probability, and the sum of probabilities of all state transitions from any given state must equal 1. State transitions can be self-targeting.

Therefore, an MP sets forth the fundamental framework that we can use to establish a state navigation task with probabilistic state transitions. However, in an MP there is no maximizing objective per se (other than an objective related to network traversal, e.g. reaching the terminal state in the fewest number of steps).

## Markov Reward Process

An MRP has all of the properties of an MP, with two additions: First, we introduce the concept of a reward function which gives rewards to our agent for arriving at a particular state:

We also introduce a discount factor which reflects the time-value of the reward, i.e. a reward realized now is more valuable than an equivalent reward realized later in time.

In this formulation, the reward system now provides an objective function which can be maximized, that being the present value of all rewards accrued over a given simulation rollout.

## Markov Decision Process

A Markov decision process is a further extension of the MRP, in which actions are added to each given state. In other words, each state has a corresponding set of actions that can be taken from that state, and each state-action pair has a set of transition probabilities associated with a set of successor states.

NOTE: An MDP subject to a given policy (i.e. a set of prescribed actions for any possible state) simply reduces to an MRP!

## Value Function

Generally, the value function is meant to capture the expected value of the total discounted reward starting from any given state. The solution to the value function is obtained by decomposing the value function into the immediate reward, and the sum of all future rewards starting from the successor state. We note that the second part is in fact the value function for the successor state, and so solving this recurrence is the foundation of the Bellman equation.

In the case of an MRP, the actions are all prescribed, and so at any point the value function can be computed analytically by solving a system of matrix equations. In the case of an MDP, one must sum over all possible actions, and so the value function must be computed using iterative methods.

# Absolute / Relative Risk Premia

## Preliminaries

Naively speaking, the decision of whether or not to invest in a particular game of chance is a simple cost-benefit calculus between the cost of participating, and the expected payoff of playing the game. The expected value here is the first moment of some probability distribution reflecting the probability of outcomes, weighted by the reward from each outcome.

However, in practice, we must also account for risk in this assessment as well. Generally, there are two factors that we consider in order to account for risk.

* The first is the inherent variability in the game itself, which is reflected by the variance of the distribution of outcomes we mentioned earlier.
* The second is the risk-aversion of the individual playing the game. Different actors will interpret risk differently.

Generally, we combine these two to form a gross-up on the economics of the game which we refer to as a **risk-premium.** The risk-premium is a dollarized representation of the game’s risk. Risk-premia of various kinds will either be reflected in the amounts of the rewards themselves (e.g. risky games will fold in higher premiums into their rewards), or in the actor’s behavior (e.g. a strongly risk-averse actor will pay relatively less to participate).

One way of accounting for the risk premium is to define a **certainty-equivalent value**, which is an adjusted measure of value which represents the extent to which risk scales down the expectation of value from the game relative to its statistical mean. This also lends to another definition of risk-premium as the difference between the certainty-equivalent value and the statistical mean:

Intuitively this makes sense: as drops, the risk-premium grows, i.e. the actor is willing to pay less to participate in response to the perceived risk of the game growing.

## Absolute and Relative Risk Aversion

The utility function is a concave function which describes total utility as a function of the amount of a particular good that is consumed. Generally speaking, the extent of concavity of the curve tells us the extent of risk-aversion (more concavity = more risk-averse). A linear utility function implies risk-neutrality (but more specifically means marginal utility is constant).

The absolute risk aversion measurement is related exclusively to the shape of the utility function, and is the ratio between the extent of concavity of the utility function and the slope of the function, given by the second and first derivatives respectively:

Where the risk premium is given as .

Comparatively, relative risk-aversion also takes into account the amount of consumption:

Where the risk premium is given as .

From the above, we see that in both cases the risk premium is the product of the intrinsic variability of the outcome distribution, as well as the mean risk-aversion of the actor (whether defined on an absolute or relative basis).

## Constant Absolute Risk Aversion (CARA)

In the CARA model, we define

Where the absolute risk aversion is a constant, hence the name. This leads to a risk-premium of

Which we can cast as an optimization problem which is focused on maximizing (note that this is assuming that the variance is a function of the mean)

## Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CCRA)

In the CCRA model, we define

Where now the relative risk aversion is constant, . This yields a risk-premium of

Which we can cast as an optimization problem which is focused on maximizing (note that this is assuming that the variance is a function of the mean).